Form revised: December 12, 2012

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

| Department: | Contact Person/Phone: | CBO Analyst/Phone: |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Legislative | Rebecca Herzfeld.684-8148 | Not applicable |

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Seattle approving and ratifying the decision of the Metropolitan King County Council to adopt a revised set of Countywide Planning Policies.

Summary of the Legislation:

This legislation ratifies the amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs) that were adopted by King County Ordinance 17486 on December 3, 2012.

Background:

The CPPs guide how all jurisdictions in King County manage growth as they develop and amend their Comprehensive Plans, as required by the State Growth Management Act. As the CPPs had not been comprehensively updated since they were adopted in 1992, in 2010 King County began a collaborative effort with other jurisdictions to revise the CPPs. In carrying out this work, the County was advised by the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC). The GMPC was established in 1992 by interlocal agreement. It is comprised of local officials, including three representatives from Seattle.

After three years of work, including public review opportunities before the GMPC and Metropolitan King County Council, the updated CPPs were adopted by the County on December 3, 2012. The interlocal agreement that created the GMPC also states that amended CPPs must be ratified by 30 percent of the jurisdictions representing at least 70 percent of the population of King County, within 90 days of CPP adoption by the County Council. The proposed resolution states Seattle's approval and ratification of the amended CPPs.

Please check one of the following:

X This legislation does not have any financial implications.

(Please skip to "Other Implications" section at the end of the document and answer questions a-h. Earlier sections that are left blank should be deleted. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each question.)

Other Implications:

- a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications? No.
 - b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?

Rebecca Herzfeld Council CPP ratification Fiscal Note v1.docx February 12, 2013 Version #1

There are no financial costs if the resolution is not adopted. The interlocal agreement assumes that if a local jurisdiction does not take action, it is assumed to approve the CPP amendments.

- c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? The CPPs provide direction for the City's Comprehensive Plan, and will be used by the Department of Planning and Development as changes to the Comprehensive Plan are developed.
 - d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?

Not applicable.

- e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation? No .
 - f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

- g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property? No.
 - h) Other Issues:

List attachments to the fiscal note below:

None.